Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/770,941	COBBLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David S. Blum	2813
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>inal rejection</u>		
(1) <u>David S. Blum</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Manware.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 March 2005	Time: <u>4:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102/inherency		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: explained reasoning for inherency		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
2052		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)